stylistically and functionally consistent with the Gambell cultural development sequence. Additionally, the present-day Native residents of Gambell are the documented descendants of the survivors of the 1879 epidemic and famine. Oral history evidence provided by representatives of the Native Village of Gambell indicates on-going recognition of the above Gambell grave sites as traditional burial grounds.

Based on the above mentioned information, officials of the Bureau of Land Management have determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (d)(1), the human remains listed above represent the physical remains of 294 individuals of Native American ancestry. Officials of the Bureau of Land Management have also determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (3)(A), the 556 objects listed above are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony. Lastly, officials of the Bureau of Land Management have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these Native American human remains and associated funerary objects and the Native Village of Gambell.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Native Village of Gambell. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these human remains and associated funerary objects should contact Dr. Robert E. King, Alaska State NAGPRA Coordinator, Bureau of Land Management, 222 W. 7th Avenue, 1B13, Anchorage, AK 99513-7599; telephone: (907) 271-5510, before [thirty days after publication in the Federal Registerl. Repatriation of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Native Village of Gambell may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: August 29, 1996. Francis P. McManamon, Departmental Consulting Archeologist, Chief, Archeology and Ethnography Program. [FR Doc. 96–22495 Filed 9–3–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310097009F

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission. TIME AND DATE: September 11, 1996 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, DC 20436.

STATUS: Open to the public.
MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Agenda for future meeting.
- 2. Minutes.
- 3. Ratification List.
- 4. Inv. No. 731–TA–750 (Preliminary)— (Vector Supercomputers from Japan) briefing and vote.
  - 5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission: Issued: August 30, 1996.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–22637 Filed 8–30–96; 1:16pm]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, and Section 122 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622, notice is hereby given that on August 26, 1996, a proposed Partial Consent Decree in United States v. Metallics, Inc., Civil Action No. 96-C-0275-S, was lodged, with the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin. This consent decree represents a settlement of claims of the United States and the State of Wisconsin against the Town of Onalaska, Wisconsin for reimbursement of response costs and injunctive relief in connection with the Onalaska Municipal Landfill site ("Site") pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et

Under this settlement between the United States, the State of Wisconsin, and the Town of Onalaska, the Town will pay the United States \$482,550 in partial reimbursement of response costs incurred by the Environmental Protection Agency at the Site, perform operation and maintenance activities at the site throughout the contemplated thirty-year remedial action, provide access to the site and to properties adjacent to the site, and impose conservation easements on such properties consistent with their location adjacent to a wildlife refuge, and institute appropriate institutional controls.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Metallics, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–605B.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Western District of Wisconsin, 120 North Henry Street, Room 420, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, at the Region 5 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$11.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Walker Smith,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 96-22467 Filed 9-3-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

# Drug Enforcement Administration [Docket No. 95–41]

## Johnson Matthey, Inc.; Termination of Proceedings

On May 8, 1995, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) issued a final order granting the September 14, 1992, application of Johnson Matthey, Inc. (Johnson Matthey) to register as a bulk manufacturer of methylphenidate, subject to certain conditions. (Johnson Matthey I) 60 FR 26050 (May 16, 1995). On January 2, 1996, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit denied a petition for review of that final order. MD Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Drug Enforcement Administration, Docket No. 95–1267, 1996 U.S. App. Lexis 1229 (D.C. Cir. 1996).

In the meantime, on February 24, 1995, Johnson Matthey filed an application for calendar year 1995 for registration as a bulk manufacturer of various Schedule I and II controlled substances, including methylphenidate, notice of which was filed in the Federal